

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addease COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1430 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wopto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/556,644	01/12/2006	Stefan Oliver Czerner	5038.1018	8517
23280 Davidson Dav	7590 10/30/2006 vidson & Kappel, LLC		EXAMINER	
485 7th Avenue			HEINRICH, SAMUEL M	
14th Floor New York, NY 10018			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3742	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/30/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)					
10/556,644	CZERNER ET AL.					
Examiner	Art Unit					
Samuel M. Heinrich	3742					

	Samuel M. Heinrich	3/42	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 13 October 2009 FAILS TO PLACE THIS	APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	R ALLOWANCE.	
 \(\)\[\]\[\]\] The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of App for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 openods: 	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expires 4 months from the mailing date			
b) In the period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire! Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07.	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE f).	date of the final rejection FIRST REPLY WAS FI	on. LED WITHIN TWO
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any rephy received by the Office lates may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b)	tension and the corresponding amount of shortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing date	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Office	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as
NOTICE OF APPEAL		Flact - Mile to the second	
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed w 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
AMENDMENTS 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection.			
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, They raise new issues that would require further co They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE beld 	nsideration and/or search (see NOT		cause
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or			ne issues for
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	corresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1	21. See attached Notice of Non-Cor	mpliant Amendment (PTOL-324).
 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s) 		- ipinanti i unantantanti (i	
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be al non-allowable claim(s). 	lowable if submitted in a separate, t	imely filed amendmer	nt canceling the
7. If or purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:		be entered and an e	xplanation of
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bubecause applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar 	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appear y and was not earlier presented. Se	and/or appellant fail ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	s to provide a).
 The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.
 The request for reconsideration has been considered bu <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u> 	t does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:
12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). 13. Other:	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
	/Samuel M Heinrich/		

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3742

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant states that "hardfacing is not the same as annealing". Note that the rejection stated Plankenhorn pertains to lasers used for hardening. Applicant argues that Miyamoto be and adjust a beam "to the contour of said surface". Note that Miyamoto pertains to adjustment of the incident angle of a laser. With despect to the argument pertaining to claim 16, note that JP363149092A describes use of plural application of lasers on opposite sides of the work which provides uniform energy distribution.